

THE BUSINESS JOURNAL

Publisher

Douglas W. Copeland, Jr.

Editorial**Editor**

Mark Sutter

Executive Editor

Justin Catanoso

Associate Editor

Lloyd Whittington

Design Editor

Dale Edwards

Reporters

Matt Evans

Steve Ivey

Michelle Cater Rash

Laura Youngs

Washington Bureau

Kent Hoover

Research Director

Stephanie Nickell

Advertising**Director of Advertising**

Timothy C. Harris

Advertising Promotions**Coordinator**

Grace Johnston

Advertising Account Managers

Laura Cicerone Busse

Bill Ingram

Tammy Tierney

Administration**Business Manager/Circulation**

Pat Fromen

Business Assistant

Donna Takase

Circulation Assistant

Pat Epley

Circulation Sales

Gary Marschall

Production**Production Director**

Debbie Forrest O'Connor

Greensboro Office

100 S. Elm St., Suite 400

Greensboro, NC 27401

Phone: (336) 271-6539

Toll-free: (800) 723-2977

News fax: (336) 370-2899

Advertising fax: (336) 370-2900

General fax: (336) 574-3607

Winston-Salem Office

305 W. Fourth St. Suite 2B

Winston-Salem, NC 27101

Phone: (336) 725-1163

Fax: (336) 725-1154

triad.bizjournals.com


The Business Journal
is a publication of

**American City
Business Journals Inc.**120 West Morehead Street,
Charlotte, NC 28202

Whitney Shaw, President & CEO

Ray Shaw, Chairman
(1989 - 2009)**Reprints**Scoop ReprintSource
(800) 767-3263, ext. 307

COMMENTARY



After Dell, Triad needs to shake it off and move on

When Dell Computer Corp. abruptly closed its facility in southeastern Forsyth County earlier this month, there were those around here who claimed to have seen it coming. But I'll admit it — I was surprised.

After all, the plant provided an excellent geographic fit, enabling Dell to more efficiently ship customized desktop computers to the eastern U.S. And Dell was among the elite of the corporate world. In 2005, the year the Forsyth plant opened, Dell was the most admired company in America, according to *Fortune* magazine.

But two important things changed for Dell. The first involved consumer preferences for desktop vs. notebook computers. A combination of increasing wireless Internet access and improving notebook technology made being tied to a desk seem so... 2002. It was widely understood that notebook sales would eventually exceed that of desktops, but the crossover came sooner than expected.

The Great Recession accelerated the process. As with many products, sales of desktops and servers fell sharply during the recession. The technology consultancy iSuppli estimates that in the first half of this year, unit sales of desktops fell 21 percent as compared to the first half of 2008. But notebook sales rose 12 percent.

Unfortunately, Dell's Forsyth plant was designed specifically to make desktops and servers, whose components could be shipped to the Triad from overseas suppliers. In contrast, a notebook computer is a more integrated and compact product, and it's cost-efficient to ship the entire machine from overseas.

The other important change was of Dell's own doing. For the first time, the company began to sell a significant number of its computers through retail chains such as Best Buy and Wal-Mart. Even though consumers couldn't customize their machines as when buying directly from Dell, the move paid off. Dell regained some of the market share it had lost to Hewlett-Packard. But the move put

another nail in the coffin of the company's mass-customization strategy.

Dell's announcement that it will move desktop production to Mexico led to speculation that the closing was about low wages elsewhere (see related story, page 1). But it's more likely that it was about the changing marketplace for computers. Given those changes, it appears that Dell couldn't justify running its Forsyth plant at less than full capacity. In the end, Dell found

it cheaper to pay back nearly \$30 million in incentives than to continue operating what it saw as a financial millstone around its neck.

The closing has been a boon for those who believe that fiscal incentives are always bad. To be sure, the Dell episode illustrates quite vividly the difficulty of predicting the future, whether by businesses or the government. But it hasn't really changed anything. Incentives are just as troubling and complicated as they were before Dell closed its plant, and the strategic motivation for governments to play the incentives game is just as strong. We need to continue to refine the way we grant incentives, but we must also understand that not all bets will pay off.

Having said that, the Dell bet doesn't look like a big loser. State and local governments will apparently get their money back, with the exception of some infrastructure spending that will eventually pay dividends anyway. In the meantime, the Triad got four years of decent-paying jobs, four years of an expanded tax base and a high-quality (if highly specialized) industrial facility.

So rather than gnash our teeth, perhaps the best reaction to the Dell closing is: Better luck next time!

ANDREW BROD is the director of UNCG's Center for Business and Economic Research and a member of The Business Journal's Editorial Board of Contributors. Reach him at (336)334-4867 or Andrew-Brod@uncg.edu. An archive of columns is available at <http://cber.uncg.edu>.

Pressing the press: Sometimes you need to fight back

So, the White House attacks Fox News for being a wing of the Republican Party and "the opposition" rather than a legitimate news operation. Is fighting the news media a bad move? Yes, 99 percent of the time. It's often perceived as desperation, diversion, shoot the messenger, and the public usually believes the reporter more than you anyway. It generates additional conflict that's even more newsworthy. Plus the media have the last word.

Nevertheless, after years as a reporter then crisis manager, I learned sometimes you fight back. You reach a point when you have to stand up for what you believe against the odds. This isn't about President Obama, this is about you, the reader.

First of all, most reporters are trying to get it right. Occasionally they make mistakes out of ignorance, writing too fast or overlooking a significant point. It's not personal, they just screw up. Many times I have contacted a reporter on behalf of a client about a story error. Mostly they say, "Thanks for letting me know, I'll fix it." If the reporter is part of the problem, a call to an editor or more senior person sometimes reins in a wayward journalist. In other words, if a story unfairly and mistakenly hurts you, don't grumble about the !@#%&* media and ruminate. Call!

Sometimes fighting back is messy.

A radio reporter once accused my client and me of releasing bad news over a weekend to avoid heavy news coverage. He was wrong and I explained the timing to him. He didn't believe me and repeatedly attacked my client anyway on his hourly newscast saying we were attempting to hide the story. There was a lot of yelling between him and me when I complained. He refused to back off. I called his superior and the on-air accusations stopped.


After a horrific situation involving a child's accidental death, my client and I invited more than a dozen reporters to come learn of our efforts to fix problems. One reporter used the opportunity to bash my client and did not mention our corrections. I complained to him and we argued heatedly. Neither of us relented. Yet, one week later the reporter, on his own volition, revisited my client, did a constructive story this time, and a bond between us developed.

I once made a client available for an interview in a tense situation with a ground rule that he not be asked about a legal matter. The reporter immediately violated the rule. I tried to halt the interview. He taped my interruption and portrayed me on his Charlotte newscast as a public relations guy trying to stonewall the press. A hot argument between us followed the next day. I lost.

A seemingly hostile Maryland investigative reporter bore down on a client. I talked with her and found she just wanted information. Rather than fight, I threw open the doors and gave her the facts she sought. She complimented our transparency and dropped the story. We won.

As for Obama versus Fox, I have complained before about opinion shows juxtaposed against regular newscasts at MSNBC and elsewhere. Cable TV blowtowers and Internet bloggers have blended hard news and opinion so much that many Americans either can't separate the two or no longer try. Obama versus Fox is one inevitable outcome.

RICK AMME is President of Amme & Associates, a media/crisis management company in Winston-Salem. He is also a member of the Business Journal's Editorial Board of Contributors. He is reachable at www.amme.com and rick@amme.com and 336-631-1855.



VIEWPOINT

RICK AMME